

Offshore Wind Farm

Statement of Common Ground

London Gateway Port Limited

Document Reference: 10.24

Volume: 10

June 2025 Date:

Revision: 0



NORTH FALLS
Offshore Wind Farm

Project Reference: EN010119

Project North Falls Offshore Wind Farm	
Document Title Statement of Common Ground- London Gatewa Limited	
Document Reference	10.24
Supplier	Royal HaskoningDHV
Supplier Document ID	PB9244-RHD-ZZ-ZZ-RP-ON-0325

This document and any information therein are confidential property of North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited and without infringement neither the whole nor any extract may be disclosed, loaned, copied or used for manufacturing, provision of services or other purposes whatsoever without prior written consent of North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited, and no liability is accepted for loss or damage from any cause whatsoever from the use of the document. North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited retains the right to alter the document at any time unless a written statement to the contrary has been appended.

Revision	Date	Status/Reason for Issue	Originator	Checked	Approved
0	June 2025	Deadline 6	Anatec	NFOW	NFOW

Contents

1.	I	ntroduction	5
	1.1	Background	5
	1.2	Consultation with London Gateway Port Limited	5
	1.3	Summary of Agreed, Not Agreed and In Discussion	6
2.	;	Statement of Common Ground	7
	2.1	Shipping and Navigation	8
3.		Signatures	12
4.	·	References	13

Tables

Table 1.1 Topics and Relevant Documents included in the SoCG	. 5
Table 2.1 Summary of Consultation with London Gateway Port Limited regarding Shipping and Navigation	. 6
Table 1.2 Position status key	. 6
Table 2.2 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to Shipping and Navigation	. 8

Glossary of Acronyms

ALARP	As Low As Reasonably Practicable	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
dML	deemed Marine Licence	
DWR	Deep Water Route	
NFOW	North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited	
NIP	Navigation and Installation Plan	
NRA	Navigational Risk Assessment	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	

Glossary of Terminology

Navigational Risk	A document which assesses the hazards to shipping and navigation of a proposed	
Assessment (NRA)	Offshore Renewable Energy Installation (OREI) based upon the FSA.	
Offshore cable corridor	The corridor of seabed from array area to the landfall within which the offshore export cables will be located.	
Offshore export cables The cables which bring electricity from the offshore substation platform(s) landfall, as well as auxiliary cables.		
The Applicant North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited (NFOW).		
The Project or 'North Falls'	North Falls Offshore Wind Farm, including all onshore and offshore infrastructure.	

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

- This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited (NFOW) (the Applicant) and London Gateway Port Limited. It identifies areas of North Falls Offshore Wind Farm (hereafter 'the Project' or 'North Falls') where matters are agreed, not agreed or that remain under discussion between the parties.
- 2. The Applicant has had regard to the Planning Inspectorate (2024) guidance regarding Statements of Common/Uncommon Ground for Hearings and Inquiries when compiling the SoCG.
- 3. This SoCG has been structured to reflect topics of the application which are of interest to London Gateway Port Limited.
- 4. Table 1.1 presents the topics included in the SoCG with the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited.

Table 1.1 Topics and Relevant Documents included in the SoCG

Topic/Chapter	DCO Document Reference
Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation	APP-029
ES Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation Figures	APP-060
ES Appendix 15.1 Navigation Risk Assessment Parts 1 - 3	APP-106; APP-107; APP-108
Draft Development Consent Order (DCO)	AS-022

5. Topic specific matters agreed, not agreed, and matters that remain under discussion between the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited are included within this SoCG. Matters that are not yet agreed will be the subject of ongoing discussion between the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited to reach agreement on each matter whatever possible or refine the extent of disagreement between parties.

1.2 Consultation with London Gateway Port Limited

6. The Applicant has engaged with London Gateway Port Limited on the project during the pre-Application process and during Examination, through the meetings detailed in Table 1.2. These are detailed throughout the SoCG, Consultation Report (Document reference: APP-215) and minutes of the meetings.

Table 1.2 Summary of Consultation with London Gateway Port Limited regarding Shipping and Navigation

Date	Contact Type	Topic
Pre-Application		
4 th October 2023	Meeting	Meeting to discuss Project updates.
12 th October 2023	Hazard workshop	Meeting to review the Navigation Risk Assessment (NRA).
12 th May 2025	Meeting	Post D4 catch up

1.3 Summary of Agreed, Not Agreed and In Discussion

- 7. In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed', or 'in discussion' the position status colour coding system set out in Table 1.3 is used in the SoCG.
- 8. Details of specific topics that are 'agreed', 'not agreed', or 'in discussion' between the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited are presented in Table 2.1.

Table 1.3 Position status key

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
Agreed. The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.	Agreed
Not Agreed- no material impact The matter is not yet agreed between the parties however the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited is not considered to result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions and the matter is considered to be closed for the purposes of this SoCG. Discussion on these matters have concluded.	Not Agreed- no material impact
Not Agreed- material impact The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited is considered to result in a materially different impact to the assessment conclusions. Discussions on these matters have concluded.	Not Agreed- material impact
In discussion The matter is neither 'agreed' nor 'not agreed' and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties (e.g. where the documents are yet to be shared with London Gateway Port Limited.	In discussion

2. Statement of Common Ground

9. A summary of the consultation undertaken to date with London Gateway Port Limited and the matters agreed or not agreed between the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited (based on discussions and information exchanged between the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited during the pre-application phase of the Application) are set out below for each of the SoCG topic areas.

2.1 **Shipping and Navigation**

Table 2.1 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to Shipping and Navigation

ID	The Applicant Position	London Gateway Port Limited Position	Position Summary
1	NRA The NRA (APP- APP-106, APP-107, and APP-108) and the Shipping and Navigation Chapter (APP-029) adequately identify, address and mitigate impacts of relevance to London Gateway.	The NRA itself does not include an assessment of the effects of different possible dredge depths that might result from different cable burial depths. It refers (Chapter 19) to embedded mitigation in the Cable Burial Risk Assessment which it says is to form part of the CSIP which will be secured by a condition to the DML. No cable burial risk assessment has yet been provided. Both the Shipping and Navigation Chapter 15 [APP-029] and the NRA rightly identifies that a 20m vessel draught was a reasonable assumption in terms of increased vessel size over the lifetime of the Project. However, there is no confirmation in Chapter 15 or NRA whether or not that was the design parameter assessed.	In Discussion
		LGPL cannot therefore comment at this stage on whether the NRA and ES adequately identify, address and mitigate impacts of relevance to London Gateway in relation to cable burial and dredge depths. LGPL also notes that Chapter 15 of the ES relies on Chapter 31 – Socio Economics in relation to the NPS assessment requirements	

10-101622567-2\340049-19





ID	The Applicant Position	London Gateway Port Limited Position	Position Summary
		'Economic value associated with local ports' makes no assessment of any economic impacts on the London ports, in particular, it does not assess London Gateway Port. As such, LGPL cannot agree that the ES adequately identifies, addresses and mitigates impacts of relevance to London Gateway in that respect.	
2	Future Scenario The NRA (APP- APP-106, APP-107, and APP-108) and ES Chapter (APP-029) have adequately identified and assessed a worst-case future scenario, and through mitigations associated with cable installation, cable burial, and cable protection has ensured all impacts are As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP).	Both the Shipping and Navigation Chapter 15 [APP-029] and the NRA rightly identifies that a 20m vessel draught was a reasonable assumption in terms of increased vessel size over the lifetime of the Project. However, there is no confirmation in Chapter 15 or NRA whether or not that was the design parameter assessed. LGPL cannot therefore comment on whether or not the NRA and ES Chapter 15 have adequately identified and assessed a worst-case future scenario. (Also to note the NRA refers to both a realistic future worst case and a maximum future worst case – we assume here we are discussed the realistic future worst case scenario.)	In Discussion
		If the Requirement referred to below (as amended) and Protective Provisions / adequate provision in the DML (for the agreement by LGPL of all necessary plans (such as CSIP)) are all included in the dDCO, LGPL is of the view that the Applicant will have ensured that all impacts are ALARP.	

10-101622567-2\340049-19

ID	The Applicant Position	London Gateway Port Limited Position	Position Summary
3	Cable Burial Depth The Applicant recognises the importance of maintaining vessel access to key local ports including London Gateway via the DW routes in the area, together with appropriate cable burial and consideration of the potential for increases in vessel draught in the future. Technical discussions are currently ongoing internally to understand what is technically achievable in terms of burial depth over and in proximity to the DW routes, noting the ports position that burial should be such that a dredged channel depth of 22m is achievable in the future. An offshore seabed site investigation is currently out to tender, with the results feeding additional technical assessments. The Applicant has submitted an outline Cable Specification and Installation Plan (oCSIP) at Deadline 4 [REP4-039] which sets out the Applicant's proposals on cable burial depth over the DW routes to ensure they could be dredged to 22m in the future. These areas of deeper burial are secured in the dDCO under Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 2(3).	LGPL's position is that it is fundamental that there is a Requirement that the water depths of 22m must be maintained by the Applicant within the areas of the deep water routes (The Sunk and Trinity). LGPL welcomes the recent inclusion by the Applicant in the version of the dDCO submitted at Deadline 4 [REP4-004] of a Requirement on this point (at Requirement 2(3) of Part 3 to Schedule 1). LGPL has certain drafting changes that it would wish to see to that Requirement and has provided these to the Applicant. LGPL considers that with those drafting changes, the inclusion of the Requirement will adequately address concerns regarding cable burial depth (although concerns regarding methodology, which need to be dealt with by agreement of the necessary plans remain). To confirm, it is LGPL's position that provision in relation to dredge depth in the deep water routes in the oCSIP alone would be insufficient without the Requirement.	In Discussion
4	NIP The outline NIP (latest version7.24, Rev 3, submitted at Deadline 6) is considered an appropriate mechanism for managing relevant shipping and navigation impacts associated with cable installation, and operation and maintenance in the area of interest (as defined in the NIP) including the potential for concurrent working. Project compliance		In Discussion

10-101622567-2\340049-19

ID	The Applicant Position	London Gateway Port Limited Position	Position Summary
	with the NIP is secured within the DCO (deemed Marine Licence (dML)).		
5	Protective Provisions As outlined in the Applicant's Response to the Ports' Request for Protective Provisions submitted at Deadline 4 [REP4-044], the Applicant does not consider that Protective Provisions are necessary.	LGPL notes the Applicant's recent change of position regarding the Protective Provisions. LGPL maintains that they are necessary and appropriate for the reasons set out in LGPL's Comments on REP4-044.	In Discussion

3. Signatures

10. The above SoCG is agreed between the Applicant and London Gateway Port Limited on the day specified below.

Signed:	
Print Name:	
Job Title:	
Date:	
Duly authorised for and on behalf of the London Gateway Port Ltd	
Signed:	
Print Name:	
Job Title:	
Date:	
Duly authorised for and on behalf of North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Ltd	



4. References

Planning Inspectorate (2024) Statements of Common/Uncommon Ground for Hearings and Inquiries. Available at: Statements of Common/Uncommon Ground for Hearings and Inquir GOV.UK	
	_





HARNESSING THE POWER OF NORTH SEA WIND

North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited

A joint venture company owned equally by SSE Renewables and RWE.

To contact please email contact@northfallsoffshore.com

© 2024 All Rights Reserved

North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited Registered Address: Windmill Hill Business Park, Whitehill Way, Swindon, Wiltshire, SN5 6PB, United Kingdom Registered in England and Wales Company Number: 12435947